

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/664,045	BRUENING ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Raymond Covington	1625	

All Participants:

(1) Raymond Covington USPTO.

(2) Gary Oakeson app. rep..

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 November 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 112 of record

Claims discussed:

1-36

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants agreed to insert the limitations of claim 2 into claim 1 and cancel claim 2 to overcome the 112 rejections. A period is also to be inserted at the end of claim 1. Claims 1 and 3-36 would be allowable.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Raymond Covington
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)